CHROMSYMP. 1888

## Solubility parameter treatment for the characterization of the stationary phase in the reversed-phase chromatography of benzene derivatives

FUMIKO M. YAMAMOTO\* and SOUJI ROKUSHIKA Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606 (Japan)

## ABSTRACT

Non-polar benzene derivatives were chromatographed on seven commercially available octadecyl columns with aqueous methanol as eluent. To interpret the differences in the retention behaviour on these columns, the role of the stationary phase was investigated by means of the solubility concept model. An apparent solubility parameter of the stationary phase,  $\delta_s'$ , was determined for the seven columns by using toluene as a standard solute. The values ranged from 14.32 to 14.91  $(cal/cm^3)^{1-2}$  with an 80% aqueous methanol eluent. The absolute value of the enthalpy change of solutes in the phase-transfer process,  $\Delta H^0$ , was larger on C<sub>18</sub> columns with a smaller  $\delta_s'$ . The  $\Delta H^0$  value was predictable from the  $\delta_s'$  when the physico-chemical parameters of the solute and of the mobile phase were given. The  $\delta_s'$  was useful for characterizing the retention properties of the stationary phase.

## INTRODUCTION

Chemically bonded octadecylsilica gel is the most popular packing material for reversed-phase chromatography. Various silica-based  $C_{18}$  materials have been provided by several manufacturers. Recently, new types of packings, such as capsule-type silica-based  $C_{18}$  materials and polymer-based  $C_{18}$  materials have become available. These  $C_{18}$  materials have different retention properties. It is well known that the retention data obtained with different columns under identical mobile phase conditions are hardly comparable. The need for characterization and classification of these materials has been expressed<sup>1</sup>.

In reversed-phase chromatography, retention of solutes on a  $C_{18}$  column depends mainly on non-specific interactions, such as hydrophobic interactions, between the solute, mobile phase and stationary phase. The solubility concept model has been applied in semi-empirical descriptions of retention behaviour in this type of chromatography<sup>2-6</sup> and the polarities of solutes and mobile phases have been investigated to elucidate their role in retention. In previous papers<sup>7,8</sup>, we expressed the polarity of the stationary phase by an apparent solubility parameter of the stationary phase,  $\delta'_s$ , by using the concept of solubility. The value of  $\delta'_s$  was determined on a Nucleosil C<sub>18</sub> column from chromatographic data for toluene with various compositions of methanol-containing eluents. A relationship between the  $\delta'_s$  value and the methanol concentration in the mobile phase was reported<sup>7</sup>. In this paper, the  $\delta'_s$  value was determined for seven different C<sub>18</sub> columns and their characterization was studied on the basis of their  $\delta'_s$  values.

## EXPERIMENTAL

A Shimadzu LC-3A chromatograph including a Model SPD-2A UV detector and a Model CTO-2A column temperature controller (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was employed with a Model RI-SE51 refractometer (Showa Denko, Tokyo, Japan).

The octadecylsilica packing materials (all of particle size  $5\mu$ m) used were Cosmosil C<sub>18</sub> (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), LiChrosorb RP-18 (E. Merck, Darmstadt, F.R.G.), Spherosil XOA 600, (Rhône Poulenc, France), Nucleosil C<sub>18</sub> (Macherey, Nagel & Co., Düren, F.R.G.) and Chemcosorb UH-ODS (Chemco, Osaka, Japan). These packings were slurry-packed into  $25 \times 0.4$  cm I.D. or  $15 \times 0.46$ cm I.D. columns, using the balanced-density technique. Asahipak ODP-50 (5  $\mu$ m), with a vinyl alcohol copolymer-based structure (Asahi Chemical Industry, Tokyo, Japan), and Capcellpak C<sub>18</sub> (5  $\mu$ m) (Shiseido, Tokyo, Japan) were also used to compare their retention properties with those of the silica-based gels. Capcellpak C<sub>18</sub> is a new type of C<sub>18</sub> material prepared by coating the silica surface with a silicone polymer film and thereafter modifying the coated polymer with octadecyl groups<sup>9</sup>.

Benzene derivatives, used as solutes, and other chemicals were purchased from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan). Chromatography was performed with 30% or 80% aqueous methanol as the eluent at a flow-rate of 0.5 ml/min. The eluate was monitored with the UV detector for aromatic hydrocarbons and with the refractometer for *n*-alkanes.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the solubility concept model, the enthalpy change in the phase-transfer process.  $\Delta H^0$ , is represented as the difference between the partial molar enthalpy changes,  $\Delta h^0$ , on dissolving a solute in the two phases. The value of  $\Delta h^0$  is controlled with the molar volume and the solubility parameter. In chromatography, the enthalpy change on transferring a solute from the mobile phase to the stationary phase is represented as follows;

$$\Delta H^0 = \Delta h_{\rm is}^0 - \Delta h_{\rm im}^0 = V_{\rm i}[(\delta_{\rm i} - \delta_{\rm s})^2 - (\delta_{\rm i} - \delta_{\rm m})^2] \tag{1}$$

where  $V_i$  is the molar volume of the solute,  $\delta$  is the solubility parameter and the subscripts i, m and s refer to the solute, mobile phase and stationary phase, respectively.  $V_i$ ,  $\delta_i$  and  $\delta_m$  are physico-chemical parameters, the values of which are obtained from non-chromatographic data<sup>5,10</sup>. Table I gives the values for the parameters used here. The solubility parameter of the mobile phase  $\delta_m$ , was calculated from that of the pure solvent,  $\delta_i$ , by using the following equation;

$$\delta_{\rm m} = \Sigma v_{\rm j} \delta_{\rm j} \tag{2}$$

TABLE I

Solute	V <sub>i</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> )	$\delta_i$ (cal/cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1/2</sup>	Solute	$V_i$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	$\delta_i$ (cal/cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1/2</sup>	
n-Pentane	115.3	7.1	<i>n</i> -Propylbenzene	139.4	8.64	
n-Hexane	130.8	7.3	Ethylbenzene	122.5	8.84	
n-Heptane	146.5	7.4	Toluene	106.3	8.93	
n-Octane	164.0	7.5	Benzene	88.9	9.1	
n-Nonane	178.6	7.5ª	Naphthalene	147.6	9.96	
<i>n</i> -Amylbenzene	171.8	8.44 <sup>a</sup>	Phenanthrene	196.7	9.8	
n-Butylbenzene	156.1	8.58	Anthracene	196.7	9.9	

## PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS FOR VARIOUS SOLUTES

<sup>a</sup> Values calculated from the heat of evaporation at 25°C by using the Hildebrand rule.

where v is the volume fraction of a constituent of the mobile phase. The values of  $\delta_m$  for 30% and 80% aqueous methanol eluents were 20.83 and 16.31 (cal/cm<sup>3</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup>, respectively.

The stationary phase in reversed-phase chromatography is recognized as a ternary complex phase, consisting of octadecyl and unreacted silanol residues on the silica surface and a solvating layer on the residues. The polarity of such a stationary phase cannot be determined physico-chemically. However, the value of  $\delta_s$  can be calculated by substituting the values of  $V_i$ ,  $\delta_i$  and  $\delta_m$  into eqn. 1, when the  $\Delta H^0$  value for a solute is obtained experimentally from the van't Hoff plots. Thus, we determined the value of  $\delta_s$  by using the retention data for toluene. The calculated  $\delta_s$  was expressed as the "apparent" parameter,  $\delta'_s$ , which is a semi-experimental value for the polarity of the stationary phase.

Table II gives the  $\delta'_s$  values for the seven different C<sub>18</sub> columns with 30% and 80% aqueous methanol eluents. The  $\delta'_s$  values for these C<sub>18</sub> columns ranged from 14.32 to 14.91 (cal/cm<sup>3</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> with 80% aqueous methanol and from 18.24 to 19.01 (cal/cm<sup>3</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> with 30% aqueous methanol as eluent. As reported previously<sup>7</sup>, it should be noted that the  $\delta'_s$  values are larger than the solubility parameter of octadecane, which is assumed

Column	80% aqueous methanol	30% aqueous methanol eluent,	
	$\delta_m = 16.31 \ (cal/cm^3)^{1/2}$	$\delta_m = 20.83 \ (cal/cm^3)^{1/2}$	
Capcellpak C <sub>18</sub>	14.32	18.24	
LiChrosorb RP-18	14.67	18.77	
Chemcosorb UH-ODS	14.68	18.73	
Nucleosil C <sub>18</sub>	14.70	19.03	
CosmosilC <sub>18</sub>	14.85	18.94	
Spherosil XOA-600	14.88	18.75	
Asahipak ODP	14.91	18.42	

## TABLE II $\delta_{s}^{c}$ VALUES FOR VARIOUS C<sub>18</sub> COLUMNS

to be about 7 (ref. 6). The  $\delta'_s$  value did not depend on the polarity of the fixed alkyl groups but on that of the complex stationary phase composed of the alkyl chains, the solvating layer on the residues. The  $\delta'_s$  value changed on varying the polarity of the mobile phase, being lower with 80% than with 30% aqueous methanol.

The effect of the mobile phase composition on the  $\delta'_s$  value was characteristic of the C<sub>18</sub> materials. With conventional silica-based C<sub>18</sub> materials, the difference in the  $\delta'_s$  values under the two elution conditions ranged from 4.35 (cal/cm<sup>3</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> for Nucleosil C<sub>18</sub> to 3.87 (cal/cm<sup>3</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> for Spherosil XOA. On a Capcellpak C<sub>18</sub>, a capsule-type silica gel, the difference between the  $\delta'_s$  values under the two elution conditions was 3.92 (cal/cm<sup>3</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup>. On polymer-based Asahipak ODP, the effect of the composition of the mobile phase on the  $\delta'_s$  value was 3.51 (cal/cm<sup>3</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup>, which is smaller than those for the conventional silica-based C<sub>18</sub> columns. The difference in the  $\delta'_s$  values between C<sub>18</sub> columns may reflect the difference in the solvation of the materials in aqueous methanol solution.

Fig. 1 shows the relationship between  $-\Delta H^0$  and  $\delta_s$  for benzene and *n*-alkylbenzenes with 80% aqueous methanol as eluent. The curves were drawn with a CAD (computer-aided design) system by substituting the values of  $V_i$  and  $\delta_i$  for *n*-alkylbenzenes and the values of  $\delta_m$  for 80% aqueous methanol into eqn. 1. The curves cross the horizontal line  $(-\Delta H^0 = 0)$  at the points  $\delta_m$  and  $2\delta_i - \delta_m$ . When the polarity of the stationary phase is higher than that of the solute, the absolute value of  $\Delta H^0$  decreases with increasing  $\delta_s$ . The chromatographic conditions in this work are an example of such a case.

In Table III the experimental  $-\Delta H^0$  and the calculated  $-\Delta H^0$  values for *n*-alkylbenzenes on the various  $C_{18}$  columns are given. The experimental  $-\Delta H^0$  values were evaluated from the Van 't Hoff plots of the retention data on the columns in the temperature range 40–70°C. The calculated  $-\Delta H^0$  values were obtained from the plots in Fig. 1. The experimental values agreed with the calculated values, within experimental error. On the Capcellpak  $C_{18}$  and Asahipak ODP columns the absolute mean deviations were 0.75% and 1.07%, respectively. The structure of the materials,



Fig. 1. Correlation curves for  $-\Delta H^0$  and  $\delta_s$ . Solutes: 1 = benzene; 2 = toluene; 3 = ethylbenzene; 4 = n-propylbenzene; 5 = n-butylbenzene; 6 = n-amylbenzene. Eluent: 80% aqueous methanol.

TABLE III

CHROMATOGRAPHICALLY MEASURED (obs) AND CALCULATED (cal) - 4H° VALUES (kcal/mol) FOR n-ALKYLBENZENES

Deviation: $  \Delta H^0$ (ob	$s) - \Delta H^0(cal)$	100/4H <sup>0</sup> (obs).	Eluent: 80% aqu	eous methanol.					
Solute	Capcellpak C <sub>1</sub>	80	LiChrosorb RF	-18	Chemcosorb U	Sao-H	Nucleosil C <sub>18</sub>		
	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^{0}(cal)$	$-\Delta H^{0}(obs)$	$-\Delta H^{0}(cal)$	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^{0}(cal)$	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^{0}(cal)$	
Benzene	2.15	2.18	1.91	1.85	1.83	1.84	1.83	1.82	
Ethylbenzene	3.14	3.16	2.62	2.67	2.66	2.66	2.60	2.63	
<i>n</i> -Propylbenzene	3.62	3.70	3.02	3.13	3.08	3.12	3.00	3.08	
n-Butylbenzene	4.09	4.18	3.46	3.54	3.46	3.52	3.44	3.48	
<i>n</i> -Amylbenzene	4.65	4.70	3.89	3.97	3.95	3.95	3.87	3.91	
Av. deviation (%) $\delta_{s}^{\prime}$ (cal/cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1/2</sup>	1.50 14.32		2.61 14.67		0.72 14.68		0.80 14.70		
	Spherosil C <sub>18</sub>		Cosmosil C <sub>18</sub>		Asahipak ODF	-50			
	$-AH^{0}(obs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$	$-AH^{0}(obs)$	$-\Delta H^{0}(cal)$	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-AH^{0}(cal)$			
Benzene	1.65	1.67	1.61	1.64	1.59	1.61			
Ethylbenzene	2.40	2.41	2.36	2.37	2.30	2.32			
n-Propylbenzene	2.78	2.83	2.74	2.78	2.66	2.72			
n-Butylbenzene	3.18	3.19	3.18	3.13	3.10	3.07			
<i>n</i> -Amylbenzene	3.58	3.58	3.56	3.53	3.45	3.45			
Av. deviation (%) $\delta'_{s}$ (cal/cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1/2</sup>	0.75 14.85		0.59 14.88		1.07 14.91				

Solute	Cancellnak C.		LiChrosorb RP	-18	Chemcosorb U	Sao-H	Nucleosil C <sub>18</sub>	
	$-AH^{0}(obs)$	$- \Delta H^0(cal)$	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$	$-\Delta H^{0}(obs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$
Naphthalene Phenanthrene Anthracene	3.18 4.13 4.41	3.18 (3.20) 4.32 (4.21) 4.24 (4.51)	2.68 3.58 3.74	2.71 (2.72) 3.67 (3.58) 3.61 (3.84)	2.68 3.60 3.76	2.69 (2.71) 3.65 (3.59) 3.59 (3.82)	2.68 3.52 3.78	2.66 (2.68) 3.61 (3.52) 3.55 (3.78)
Av. deviation (%) $\delta'_{s}$ (cal/cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1/2</sup>	2.82 (1.61) 14.32		2.37 (1.39) 14.67		2.09 (1.28) 14.68	• .•	3.13 (0.00) 14.70	
	Spherosil C <sub>18</sub>		Cosmosil C <sub>18</sub>		Asahipak ODI	-50		•
	$-AH^{0}(abs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$	$-AH^0(obs)$	$-AH^{0}(cal)$	·	
Naphthalene Phenanthrene Anthracene	2.58 3.83 3.97	2.49 (2.46) 3.32 (3.23) 3.26 (3.47)	2.37 3.18 3.32	2.40 (2.42) 3.26 (3.18) 3.20 (3.41)	2.86 4.37 4.57	2.36 (2.37) 3.20 (3.12) 3.15 (3.35)	l	
Av. deviation (%) $\delta'_{c}$ (cal/cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1/2</sup>	11.56 (10.97 14.85	(/	2.46 (1.47) 14.88		25.10 (24.0 14.91	2)		

8



Fig. 2. Correlation curves for  $-\Delta H^0$  and  $\delta_s$ . Solutes: 1 = naphthalene; 2 = phenanthrene; 3 = anthracene. Eluent: 80% aqueous methanol.

whether silicone-coated silica or organic polymer-based, did not affect the  $-\Delta H^0$  values for the retention of these *n*-alkylbenzenes.

The experimental and calculated  $-\Delta H^0$  values for condensed aromatic hydrocarbons, such as naphthalene, phenanthrene and anthracene, and the absolute mean deviation on each column are given in Table IV. First, the  $-\Delta H^0$  values for these aromatics were calculated by using the values of  $V_i$  in Table I, obtained by the method of Le Bas<sup>11</sup>. The absolute mean deviation for aromatics was larger than that for *n*-alkylbenzenes. This resulted from the large difference between the calculated and experimental  $-\Delta H^0$  values for phenanthrene and for anthracene. The calculated  $-\Delta H^0$  values for phenanthrene on the seven C<sub>18</sub> columns were larger than the experimental values, whereas the calculated  $-\Delta H^0$  values for anthracene were smaller than the experimental values. However, the correlation coefficients between the calculated and experimental  $-\Delta H^0$  values for the retentions of these two aromatics on five columns (*i.e.*, not including the Spherosil XOA and Asahipak ODP columns) were



Fig. 3. Correlation curves for  $-\Delta H^0$  and  $\delta_s$ . Solutes: 1 = n-pentane; 2 = n-hexane; 3 = n-heptane; 4 = n-octane; 5 = n-nonane. Eluent: 80% aqueous methanol.

#### TABLE V

# CHROMATOGRAPHICALLY MEASURED (obs) AND CALCULATED (cal) $-\Delta H^0$ VALUES (kcal/mol) FOR *n*-ALKANES

Solute	Capcellpak C <sub>18</sub>		Nucleosil C <sub>18</sub>		Asahipak ODP-50	
	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$	$-\Delta H^0(obs)$	$-\Delta H^0(cal)$
<i>n</i> -Pentane	3.70	3.77	3.06	3.12	2.78	2.76
n-Hexane	4.13	4.17	3.42	3.46	3.06	3.07
n-Heptane	4.53	4.62	3.82	3.82	3.38	3.40
<i>n</i> -Octane	5.01	5.10	4.17	4.23	3.74	3.72
<i>n</i> -Nonane	5.44	5.55	4.61	4.60	4.05	4.06
Av. deviation (%)	1.73		0.96		0.48	
$\delta'_{\rm s}~({\rm cal/cm^3})^{1/2}$	14.32	14.32		14.70		

Deviation:  $|\Delta H^0(\text{obs}) - \Delta H^0(\text{cal})| \cdot 100/\Delta H^0(\text{obs})$ . Eluent: 80% aqueous methanol.

0.996 and 0.994, respectively. The deviation for the aromatics was assumed to be due to the error in the calculation of  $V_i$  values by the method of Le Bas<sup>11</sup>.

Next, the values of  $V_i$  for the condensed aromatics were calculated by substituting the experimental  $-\Delta H^0$  values into eqn. 1. We used the data for the Nucleosil  $C_{18}$  column as a standard. The  $V_i$  values obtained for naphthalene, phenanthrene and anthracene were 148.5, 191.6, and 209.4 cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. These  $V_i$ values differed from those obtained by the method of Le Bas by 0.6, 2.6, 6.5%, respectively. The recalculated  $-\Delta H^0$  values are given in parentheses in Table IV. The absolute mean deviation for each column was improved by the recalculation. However, exceptions were found for the Spherosil XOA and Asahipak ODP columns. The experimental  $-\Delta H^0$  values for the condensed aromatics on those columns were much larger than the values calculated from their  $\delta'_s$  values. Hence the specific interaction may be due to the steric structure of the  $C_{18}$  materials. Fig. 2 shows the relationship between  $-\Delta H^0$  for the three condensed aromatics and  $\delta_s$ . Here, the recalculated  $V_i$  values were used for the molar volume of the condensed aromatics.

Fig. 3 shows the correlation curves between  $-\Delta H^0$  and  $\delta_s$  for *n*-alkanes. Table V gives the experimental and calculated  $-\Delta H^0$  values for the retention of the five *n*-alkanes on three types of columns: Capcellpak C<sub>18</sub>, Nucleosil C<sub>18</sub> and Asahipak ODP. The absolute mean deviation between the experimental and calculated  $-\Delta H^0$  values was sufficiently small on these three columns.

## CONCLUSIONS

The polarity of the stationary phase was different for the different commercial  $C_{18}$  materials. The concentration of the organic modifier in the mobile phase also affected the polarity of the stationary phase. Seven  $C_{18}$  columns were characterized by their  $\delta'_s$  values. On the  $C_{18}$  column having a larger  $\delta'_s$  value, a smaller absolute value of  $-\Delta H^0$  was obtained in the retention of non-polar benzene derivatives. In other words, the larger the  $\delta'_s$  values, the smaller was the observed temperature effect on the retention. The  $-\Delta H^0$  values for the retention of benzene derivatives were predictable from the physico-chemical parameters and the  $\delta'_s$  values.

## REFERENCES

- 1 R. E. Majors, J. Chromatogr. Sci., 18 (1980) 488-511.
- 2 R. Tijssen, H. A. H. Billiet and P. J. Schoenmakers, J. Chromatogr., 122 (1976) 185-203.
- 3 B. L. Karger, L. R. Snyder and C. Eon, J. Chromatogr., 125 (1976) 71-88.
- 4 B. L. Karger, L. R. Snyder and C. Eon, Anal. Chem., 50 (1978) 2126-2136.
- 5 T. L. Hafkenscheid and E. Tomlinson, J. Chromatogr., 264 (1983) 47-62.
- 6 H. A. H. Billiet, P. J. Schoenmakers and L. de Galan, J. Chromatogr., 218 (1984) 443-454.
- 7 F. M. Yamamoto, S. Rokushika and H. Hatano, J. Chromatogr., 408 (1987) 21-26.
- 8 F. M. Yamamoto, S. Rokushika and H. Hatano, J. Chromatogr. Sci., 27 (1989) 704-709.
- 9 Y. Ohtsu, H. Fukui, T. Kanda, K. Nakamura, M. Nakano, O. Nakata and Y. Fujiyama, *Chromatographia*, 24 (1987) 380-384.
- 10 H. Burrell and B. Immergut, in J. Brandrup and E. A. Immergut (Editors), *Polymer Handbook*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1966, p. IV-341.
- 11 G. Le Bas, The Molecular Volumes of Liquid Chemical Compounds, Longmans Green, New York, 1915.